Traffic Commission Meeting April 6th, 2016



Northern Arizona University Capstone

Presenters: Zach Crimmins, Kevin Farrell, Jace Elkins & TJ Sullivan Additional Team Members: Jordan Weyrauch, Joseph Davis, Ralph Ubert & Amal Abdelaziz

Project Overview

- Client: City of Flagstaff
- POC: Stephanie Sarty
- Location: N. Country Club Dr. and E. Old Walnut Canyon Rd.
- Project Budget: \$1,115,000 FY2018
- Purpose: Redesign the intersection for both a traffic signal and roundabout



Figure 1: Intersection Location [1]

Agenda

- Current Conditions
- Traffic Studies
 - Speed & Volume Data
 - Vehicle Classification
 - Current/Projected LOS
 - Right of Way
 - Sight Distance
- Signal Alternative
- Roundabout Alternative



Figure 2: Intersection Location [1]

Current Speed/Volume Data



Table 1: AM/PM Peak Hours and Volume

	Peak Hou	ur Volume		
Leg of Intersection	AM Peak Hour	AM Volume	PM Peak Hour	PM Volume
NB Country Club	8:00-9:00	228	4:30-5:30	263
SB Country Club	7:15-8:15	540	5:00-6:00	687
EB Oakmont	11:00-12:00	142	3:00-4:00	174
WB Old Walnut Canyon	8:00-9:00	284	5:00-6:00	399

Figure 3: Speed and Volume Data

Vehicle Classification

Percentage of Vehicles



Class 14: Unclassified vehicles



Class 5: Single Unit Trucks (2 Axle, 6 tire) including recreation vehicles



Class 3: Pickups & Vans (2 Axle, 4 tire) including those with light trailers



Class 2: Passenger vehicles including those pulling light trailers

Figure 4: Vehicle Classification Statistics

Current LOS

Table 2: HCS Delay/Level of Service Output

Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

Approach		Eastb	ound				North	bound			South	bound							
Movement	U	L	т	R	U	L	т	R	U	L	т	R	U	L	т	R			
Priority		10	11	12		7	8	9	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6			
Number of Lanes		0	1	0		0	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	1			
Configuration			LTR			LT		R		L		TR		L	т	R			
Volume (veh/h)		51	12	L A		Delau	(a (see b)										11.1	0.4	 4.2
Percent Heavy Vehicles		2	2	App	broach	Delay	(s/venj					22.2					11.1	0.4	 4.3
Proportion Time Blocked				Арр	proach	LOS						C					В	A	A
Right Turn Channelized		N	lo			N	lo			N	lo			N	lo				
Median Type	\square	Left Only																	
Median Storage		1																	
Delay, Queue Length, and	Pelay, Queue Length, and Level of Service																		
Flow Rate (veh/h)			85			40		164		8				268					
Capacity			294			511		905		1319				1414					
v/c Ratio			0.29			0.08		0.18		0.01				0.19					
95% Queue Length			1.2			0.3		0.7		0.0				0.7					
Control Delay (s/veh)			22.2			12.6		9.9		7.7				8.1					
Level of Service (LOS)			С			В		A		Α				Α					
Approach Delay (s/veh)		22	2.2		11.1					0	.4		4.3						
Approach LOS		(c		В					A					A				

Projected LOS with No Design Change

Table 3: HCS Delay/Level of Service Output

Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

Approach		Eastb	ound		Westbound					Northbound					bound								
Movement	U	L	т	R	U	L	т	R	U	L	т	R	U	L	т	R							
Priority		10	11	12		7	8	9	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6							
Number of Lanes		0	1	0		0	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	1							
Configuration			LTR			LT		R		L		TR		L	т	R							
Volume (veh/h)		65	14	Ann	Arrange the Delay (a furth)													0.3	, ,	4.5			
Percent Heavy Vehicles		2	2	APP	roach	Delay	s/venj			81.0							12.0	0.3	,	4.5			
Proportion Time Blocked				Арр	broach	LOS						F					В	B A A					
Right Turn Channelized		N	lo			N	lo			N	lo			N	lo								
Median Type	\square			Left Only																			
Median Storage									1														
Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service																							
Flow Rate (veh/h)			106			45		214		8				351									
Capacity			143			351		861		1240				1362									
v/c Ratio			0.74			0.13		0.25		0.01				0.26									
95% Quede Length			4.4			0.4		1.0		0.0				1.0									
Control Delay (s/veh)			81.0			16.8		10.6		7.9				8.6									
Level of Service (LOS)			F			С		В		Α				Α									
Approach Delay (s/veh)		8	1.0		12.6					0.3 4.5													
Approach LOS			F		В						AAA												



The state of the s

Figure 5: City of Flagstaff Zoning Boundaries

Warranting

Warrant 7- Crash Experience

- A traffic signal will be considered for an intersection if both of the following criteria are met.
 - Five collisions occur within a twelve month time span.
 - The volume of both the major and minor streets must also be high enough to where it meets the 80 percent columns of condition A and B from the eight-hour vehicle volume warrant.



Figure 6: Crash Experience Statistics



Per AASHTO Standards: • 290 ft • 290 ft • 390 ft

Figure 7: Intersection Sight Distance



Roundabout Design Alternative

Single Lane Roundabout

Diameter -110 ft

Speeds - 20 mph

Splitter Island

Pedestrian cross walks

Entry Width and Circulatory Roadway Width of 16 ft

Design Vehicle - large semi-trailer (WB-50)

Center offset of 1.5 ft N / 13.5 ft E

Total right-away need from surrounding parcels ~700 sqft



Roundabout Design Alternative Cont.



Roundabout Design Alternative Cont.

- Circulation plaque: South and west bounds.
- Keep right plaque: installed at the splitter island.
- One way sign: middle of the roundabout.
- Yield plaque: installed at each approach leg.
- Yield ahead: Placed on the northbound.
- **Dotted lines:** Installed at entrances of roundabout.
- Ground lane arrows: Normal arrows based on MUTCD.



Undergraduate Research and Design Symposium

- Friday April 29th, 2016
- NAU Dubois Center-Agassiz Room
- Traffic Signal Design 1:40PM
- Roundabout Design 2:05PM



Figure 8: NAU DuBois Center

References

[1] "Google Maps." *Google Maps*. Web. Oct. 2016.
[2] "City of Flagstaff" *City of Flagstaff*. Web. 2016
[3] "Nonneseter-Simulation in VISSIM" *Ramboll*. Web. 2013
[4] *Golberg Osborne*. Web. Jan. 2016.